

# Planck's Constant $h$ , in The Beginning of Cosmological Expansion

Andrew Walcott Beckwith

Physics department  
Chongqing University  
People's Republic of China

## Abstract

We look at a non-singular universe representation of entropy, based in part on what was brought up by Muller and Lousto. This is a gateway to bringing up information and computational steps. The ZPE formalism is modified as due to Matt Visser's alternation of  $k$  (maximum)  $\sim 1/(\text{Planck length})$ , with a specific initial density giving rise to initial information content which may permit fixing the initial Planck's constant,  $h$

## Introduction

First of all we wish to ascertain if there is a way to treat entropy in the universe, initially, by the usual black hole formulas. Our derivation takes advantage of work done by Muller, and Lousto [1] which have a different formulation of entropy cosmology, based upon a modified event horizon, which they call the Cosmological Event Horizon. i.e. it represents the distance a photon emitted at time  $t$  can travel. Afterwards, we give an argument, as an extension of what is presented by Muller and Lousto [1], which we claim ties in with Cai [2], as to a bound to entropy, which is stated to be  $S$  (entropy) less than or equal to  $N$ , with  $N$ , in this case, a microstate numerical factor. Then, a connection as to Ng's infinite quantum statistics [3] is raised. i.e. afterwards, we are then referencing C.S. Camara a way to ascertain a non-zero finite, but extremely small bounce and then we use the scaling, as given by Camara [4], that a resulting density, is scaled as by  $\rho : a^{-4}$ . In addition we will set this scaling as a way to set minimum magnetic field values, commensurate to the modified ZPE density value, as given by Visser [5], with  $\rho : a^{-4}$  paired off with [5]'s  $\rho \sim \text{mass}(\text{planck}) / (\text{length}[\text{Planck}])^3$ , so then the magnetic fields as given by [4] can in certain cases be estimate. In addition, comparing the results of [4] and [5] permit us to use Waleka's [6] result of a time step  $\sim 1/$  square root of  $\rho \sim \text{mass}(\text{planck}) / (\text{length}[\text{Planck}])^3$  versus a time step  $\sim 1/$  square root of  $\rho : a^{-4}$ , with equality giving further constraints upon magnetic fields and a cosmological "constant"  $\Lambda$ . Doing so, will then permit us to make further use of [7] and its relationship between and a cosmological "constant"  $\Lambda$  and an upper bound to the number of produced gravitons. Isolating  $N$  (the number of gravitons) and if this is commensurate with entropy due to [2] and [3] will allow us to use Seth Lloyd supposition of [8] as to the number of permitted operations in quantum physics may be permitted. This final step will allow us to go to the final supposition, as to what number of operations / information may be needed to set a value

of  $h$  ( Planck's constant) in the beginning of the universe, or given in [9] with value,  $h$  invariant over time.

$$h(\text{initial}) = E(\text{initial}) \cdot t(\text{initial}) = \rho(\text{initial}) \cdot V(\text{initial}) \cdot t(\text{initial}) \quad (1)$$

Please see the rest of the document as given in reference [10]. We have jumped to the conclusion.

**Conclusion.** Order of magnitude estimate as to necessary and sufficient conditions as to calculation of  $h$  bar in the early Universe. Leading to effective initial time not zero.

We will now give a first order estimate as to calculation of  $h$  bar, i.e. Eq.(1). i.e. isolate the actual spatial length, for the creation of a present-day  $h$  bar Planck's constant.

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq h + \frac{l_{\text{Planck}}^2}{h} \cdot (\Delta p)^2 \quad (2)$$

Then THE FOLLOWING ARE EQUIVLENT

. The idea would be that the Planck constant,  $h$  bar would be formulated as of the present day value ,. Also, the modification for the string length, would have  $\Delta x|_{\text{min}} \sim 10^\beta l_{\text{Planck}}$  , so then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta x|_{\text{min}} \Delta p \approx h + \frac{l_{\text{Planck}}^2}{h} \cdot (\Delta p)^2 \\ & \Delta x|_{\text{min}} \Delta p \approx h + \frac{l_{\text{Planck}}^2}{h} \cdot (\Delta p)^2 \\ & h^2 - h \Delta x|_{\text{min}} \Delta p + l_{\text{Planck}}^2 \cdot (\Delta p)^2 \approx 0 \\ & h \approx \frac{\Delta x|_{\text{min}} \Delta p}{2} \cdot \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4 \frac{l_{\text{Planck}}^2}{(\Delta x|_{\text{min}})^2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h & \approx \frac{\Delta x|_{\text{min}} \Delta p}{2} \cdot \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4 \cdot 10^{-2\beta}} \right) \\ & \approx \Delta x|_{\text{min}} \Delta p \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{2}{10^{2\beta}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\text{if } \Delta p \sim N_{\text{graviton}} \cdot m_{\text{graviton}} \cdot c$$

$$h \approx \Delta x|_{\text{min}} \cdot N_{\text{graviton}} \cdot m_{\text{graviton}} \cdot c \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{2}{10^{2\beta}} \right) \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta x|_{\text{min}} \approx \frac{h}{N_{\text{graviton}} \cdot m_{\text{graviton}} \cdot c \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{2}{10^{2\beta}} \right)}$$

This should be greater than a Plank length, mainly due to the situation of

$$\left( 1 - \frac{2}{10^{2\beta}} \right)^{-1} \sim 1 + \frac{2}{10^{2\beta}} \quad (5)$$

We assume, here that this will be occurring in an interval of time approximately the value of Planck time given by

$$t(\text{initial}) \sim h / \rho(\text{initial}) \cdot V(\text{initial}) \sim \frac{h}{\left(\frac{m_{\text{Planck}}}{l_{\text{Planck}}^3}\right)} \left( \frac{h}{N_{\text{graviton}} \cdot m_{\text{graviton}} \cdot c \cdot \left(1 - \frac{2}{10^{2\beta}}\right)} \right)^{-3} \quad (6)$$

Here, the number, N, is given as the number of gravitons, and the important factor is that Eq.(6) is non zero. Whereas this will then lead to a fixed magnetic field behavior as to N being defined above, by Eq. (6) and the N so being defined, leading to a bound on  $\Lambda$

## References

- [1] R. Mueller, C. O. Lousto, “Entanglement entropy in curved spacetimes with event horizons”, Phys.Rev. D52 (1995) 4512-4517, <http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9504049>
- [2] R-C. Cai, “Some Remarks on Constant Curvature Spaces”, pp. 234-250, in Superstring Theory, K. Liu, and S-T. Yau, (Advanced Lectures in Mathematics) Higher Education Press, Beijing, PRC (2005)
- [3] Y. Jack Ng, “Holographic foam, dark energy and infinite statistics,” Phys. Lett. B, 657, (2007), pp. 10-14
- Y.Jack Ng,” Article: Spacetime Foam: From Entropy and Holography to Infinite Statistics and Nonlocality”, Entropy 2008, 10(4), 441-461; DOI: 10.3390/e10040441
- [4] C.S. Camara, M.R. de Garcia Maia, J.C. Carvalho, and J.A.S. Lima, “Nonsingular FRW cosmology and Non-Linear dynamics”, Arxiv astro-ph/0402311 version 1, Feb 12, 2004
- [5] M. Visser, *Lorentzian Wormholes, From Einstein to Hawkings*, AIP press, Springer Verlag, 1996, USA, Baltimore, Maryland
- [6] J. D. Walecka, *Introduction to Modern Physics, Theoretical Foundations*, World press scientific Co, Pte. Ltd. 5 Tok Link, Singapore, Republic of Singapore, 596224
- [7] [I. Haranas](#) and [I. Gkigkitzis](#), “The Mass of Graviton and Its Relation to the Number of Information according to the Holographic Principle”, International Scholarly Research Notices, Volume(2014), Article ID 718251, 8 pages, <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/isrn/2014/718251/>
- [8] S. Lloyd, “Computational Capacity of the Universe”, <http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0110141> and [10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.237901](http://arxiv.org/abs/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.237901)

- [9] P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor, and D.B. Newell (2011), "The 2010 CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants" (Web Version 6.0). This database was developed by J. Baker, M. Douma, and S. Kotochigova. Available: <http://physics.nist.gov>  
[Thursday, 02-Jun-2011]
- [10] A. Beckwith, "NLED Gedankenexperiment for Modified ZPE and Planck's 'constant',  $h$ , in the Beginning of Cosmological Expansion, Partly Due to NLED (non linear electrodynamics)"; <http://vixra.org/abs/1506.0011>